

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/627,983 | 07/28/2003 | Masanobu Ando | T36-158111M/KOH | 4114 | |
| 21254 7 | 4 7590 02/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MCGINN IN | TELLECTUAL PRO | PERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC | ROSENBERGER, FREDERICK F | | |
| 8321 OLD CO | URTHOUSE ROAD | | | | |
| SUITE 200 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| VIENNA, VA | 22182-3817 | | 2884 | • | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Response to Rule 312 Communication | | 10/627,983 | ANDO ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Frederick F. Rosenberger | 2884 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address – | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1. 🛛 The amendment filed on 18 January 2006 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: | | | | | | | |
| a) 🗵 entered. | | | | | | | |
| b) 🗌 | b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. | | | | | | |
| c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. | | | | | | | |
| Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1) | | | | | | | |
| and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. | | | | | | | |
| d) 🗌 | d) disapproved. See explanation below. | | | | | | |
| e) 🗌 | e) entered in part. See explanation below. | | | | | | |
| Applicant has added claim 18, which depends from allowable claim 1. Although applicant has not pointed out why the new claim was not presented earlier, applicant has addressed why the amendment was needed, why the new claims require no additional search, and why the claims are patentable, pursuant to MPEP 714.16. As it has been determined that said new claim does not present any new matter nor require additional searching and would be allowable for the reasons stated, the amendment has been entered. | | | | | | | |
| DAVID PORTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |